

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | O. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------|-------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/004,040 | • | 01/07/1998 | LOVELL BRENT IVIE | T2701.DIV | 9760 |
| 20451 | 7590 | 03/15/2004 | EXAMINER | | |
| GRANT | | | SRIVASTAVA, VIVEK | | |
| P O BOX | | ARTH & CANNON | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SANDY, | UT 84 | 091-1909 | 2611 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004 | 4 15 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| : | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Notice of Aboudomment | 09/004,040 | IVIE ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Vivek Srivastava | 2611 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Neriod for reply (including a total extension of time of) | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ | · | | | | |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | mendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below). | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | | | | |
| (d) ☑ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | | the statutory period of three months | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was, which is after the expiration of the statutory position Allowance (PTOL-85). | s received on (with a Certificateriod for payment of the issue fee (ar | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated nd publication fee) set in the Notice of | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | nsmission dated), which is | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | sentative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | rence rendered on and becausems. | se the period for seeking court review | | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | Market 1 | | | | |
| | | VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | | |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)